49



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		FIRST MANUEL DIVISION	ATTODAISY DOCKET NO	CONCIDATATION NO
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/605,010	06/27/2000	Marzio Pozzuoli	57761.000031	3952
7590 10/14/2003			EXAMINER	
Thomas M Blasey Esq Hunton & Williams 1900 K Street NW Suite 120 Washington, DC 20006			DU, THUAN N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2185	
			DATE MAILED: 10/14/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/605,010	POZZUOLI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thuan N. Du	2185				
D!	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply							
THE - Ex aft - If t - If t - Fa - An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 40 period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi , cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 A	August 2003 .					
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)□							
Dispos	closed in accordance with the practice under a ition of Claims	Ex paπe Quayle, 1935 (J.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	ı .					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
· · · _	tion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) <u> X</u>	The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2000 is/are: a)[·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>08 August 2003</u> is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) 🔲 No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/605,010 Page 2

Art Unit: 2185

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-22 are presented for examination.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The reference, U.S. Patent No. 5,982,585, cited in the information disclosure statement filed June 27, 2000 has not been considered as to the merits because it is not relevant.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "microprocessor" recites in claim 1 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/605,010 Page 3

Art Unit: 2185

Regarding claim 1, it is not clear which circuit(s) is/are connected to and controlled by 6. the microprocessor recite in claim 1.

Claims 2-13 are also rejected for incorporating the above deficiency by dependency. 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admission of prior art [AAPA] and Venkatraman et al. [Venkatraman] (U.S. Patent No. 6,170,007).
- 10. Regarding claims 1 and 17, AAPA teaches a protective relay for providing protective control to a power system comprising:

a microprocessor [application's specification, p. 1, lines 5-6];

first and second connections to a communication network and the power system, respectively [application's specification, p. 1, lines 4-7];

a communication server configured to receive relay configuration commands from a remote computer over the communications network, and to provide power system data and relay status data to the remote computer over the communications network [Fig. 1; application's specification, p. 5, lines 5-8]. p.4, & 1-14

Application/Control Number: 09/605,010

Art Unit: 2185

AAPA teaches the communication server communicates with remote computer over a communications network using a product-specific software, therefore, the communications capability of the protective relay is limited. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the flexibility of AAPA's device would increase if the device can support standard web browser software. More specifically, AAPA does not teach the communication server communicates with remote computer over a communication network in a network format.

Venkatraman teaches a device with embedded web server for communicating with remote computer over a communication network in a network format (HTTP protocol) [col. 2, lines 25-30, 46-53; col. 3, lines 11-37]. Venkatraman suggests that the device can be any type of devices [col. 3, lines 55-65], therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the web server could also be embedded in a protective relay.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of AAPA and Venkatraman because it would increase the flexibility of AAPA's device by allowing the device easily communicates with any remote computer over a communications network in a standard network format.

11. Regarding claims 2-13 and 18-22, claims 2-13 and 18-22 are directed to apparatuses implementing the protective relay IED of claims 1 and 17. As stated above, AAPA and Venkatraman teach the invention substantially as set forth in claims 1 and 17. At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that AAPA and Venkatraman may also teach the implementations of claims 1 and 17 as set forth in claims 2-13 and 18-22. As such, claims 2-13 and 18-22 are rejected under same rationale with respect to claims 1 and 17.

Application/Control Number: 09/605,010

Art Unit: 2185

12. Regarding claims 14-16, since they recite method of operating of the apparatus defined in the apparatus claims, they are rejected accordingly based on the rejection of the apparatus claims.

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan N. Du whose telephone number is (703) 308-6292 or via e-mail, **thuan.du@uspto.gov**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 9:00 am - 5:30 pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-9717.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231.

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follow:

- (703) 746-7238 [After Final Communication]
- (703) 746-7239 [Official Communication]
- (703) 746-7240 [Non-Official Communication]

and/or:

(703) 746-5668 (use this fax number, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication).

Application/Control Number: 09/605,010

Art Unit: 2185

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Park II 2121 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA 22202 Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Thuan N. Du October 8, 2003

> THOMAS LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100